

RESEARCH PAPER

The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses: New Values for "Old" Compositions

Laura Ware Adlington

The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses are widely used as standards in the chemical analysis of archaeological and historical glasses, as their compositions were designed to approximate those of major glass types in antiquity. Since their development in the 1960s, their compositions have been revisited and updated. This paper provides a brief overview of the Corning glasses, and addresses two of the last three elements to be re-evaluated: the recommended values for the concentrations of SO₃ and Cl were, until now, based on theoretical values. Data for these elements were collected using electron microprobe, and used together with published data to suggest new values. Finally, a complete list with the most up-to-date compositions for the four Corning glasses is compiled for the benefit of other analysts.

Keywords: Archaeometry; glass; analysis; corning; reference standards; methodology

Introduction

The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses are widely used as standards in the analysis of archaeological and historical glasses, as their compositions were designed to approximate those of major glass types in antiquity. Scientific analysis of glass has played an important role in archaeology in recent years, in the study of raw materials, provenance determination, glass-making technology, the organisation of production and the recycling of glass (cf. Rehren and Freestone, 2015). Reference standards are used in chemical analysis to calibrate the equipment, to test the performance of the analytical equipment and the quality of the data generated, and to indicate the degree

to which data are comparable with other data. To achieve this, the reference material must be homogeneous and its composition well-characterised.

Since the development of the Corning glasses, their elemental compositions have been re-evaluated and new updated values suggested, most recently by Wagner et al (2012). However, the concentrations of three elements were not re-examined in that study and the values are still based on theoretical values. This paper provides a brief overview of the Corning reference glasses and recommendations for new values for the concentrations of two of these elements; sulphur and chlorine. These elements can be studied to understand technological processes involved in the making of glass. Sulphur concentrations can be an indicator of the chemical properties giving the glass its colour and the redox conditions of the furnace (Schreurs and Brill, 1984;

Beerkens, 2003; Freestone and Stapleton, 2015), whereas chlorine concentrations serve as a marker of repeated melting or recycling (Al-Bashaireh *et al.*, 2016), the addition of salt as a raw material (Gerth, Wedepohl and Heide, 1998; Wedepohl, 2003), and the melting temperature of the glass (Rehren, 2000). Both elements are also related to deterioration processes (Schreiner *et al.*, 1999).

Overview of the Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses

Robert Brill and The Corning Museum of Glass initiated, and were central to, a project to improve the analysis of archaeological glass by developing four reference glasses with compositions similar to those of common ancient glasses: Corning A and B are soda-lime silicate glasses that were designed to resemble ancient Egyptian, Mesopotamian, Roman, Byzantine and Islamic plant ash and natron glasses; Corning C is a high-lead, high-barium glass, similar to some East Asian glasses; and Corning D is a potash-lime silicate glass based on Medieval European compositions (Brill, 1965, 1972).

The glasses were prepared using chemicals of known purity that were weighed out according to the target compositions and ball-milled for 16 hours to ensure homogeneous mixing before melting (details of the procedure described in Brill 1965 and in Brill 1972). Theoretical compositions were calculated based upon the mixtures (published in Brill, 1972). Sulphur and chlorine were added to the mixtures using sodium sulphate and sodium chloride, and their ultimate concentrations estimated assuming 70% retention of SO₃ and 80% retention of Cl. The glasses were distributed to multiple laboratories (cf. Brill, 1972 Appendix I) for analysis by numerous methods without prior knowledge of their theoretical composition, and “tentative” compositions were then recommended (Brill, 1972 Appendix IV). In 1999, Brill published new recommended values for the four glasses based upon replicate analyses by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), though the traces were still based upon the theoretical compositions

(Brill, 1999: analytical procedure detailed in Appendix A, theoretical compositions in Appendix B, and recommended reference compositions in Appendix D).

Vicenzi and colleagues (2002) evaluated the usefulness of the Corning glasses as secondary standards, focusing on the minor and trace elements and impurities, and using the analytical methods of electron probe microanalysis (EPMA), laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). The primary focus of this paper was the spatial heterogeneity of the glasses (and thus their basic suitability as secondary standards) rather than the confirmation or re-evaluation of the recommended values from Brill (1999), and they concluded that the Corning glasses were suitably homogeneous for use as secondary standards.

However, various published articles reported some discrepancies between their measured analyses of some elements in the Corning glasses and the published recommended compositions (including Kuisma-Kursula and Räsänen, 1999; Kuisma-Kursula, 2000; Bronk and Freestone, 2001; Falcone *et al.*, 2002; Vicenzi *et al.*, 2002; Shortland *et al.*, 2007; Dussubieux *et al.*, 2008; Wagner *et al.*, 2008; Dussubieux *et al.*, 2009). This prompted the study by Wagner *et al.* (2012) with the purpose of testing the published recommended compositions of the Corning glasses and where needed, suggesting new values for some elements. Using LA-ICP-MS with three different laser systems, they suggested new values for elements whose results were separated by 3 σ from the previously recommended values. The concentrations of sulphur, chlorine and silver were not tested by Wagner and colleagues.

Re-evaluation of Sulphur and Chlorine Concentrations

The impetus for this paper came from an observation that, firstly, the measured values for SO₃ and Cl in some of the Corning glasses in analytical work at the UCL Institute of Archaeology consistently differed from the recommended values, and secondly, many

	A (n = 80)		B (n = 91)		D (n = 97)	
	SO₃	Cl	SO₃	Cl	SO₃	Cl
Mean	0.14	0.09	0.49	0.17	0.20	0.16
Standard Deviation	0.019	0.006	0.048	0.011	0.023	0.009

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of *n* analyses for SO₃ and Cl in Corning A, B and D, expressed as oxide weight percent (wt%).

Source	Method	A		B		D	
		SO₃	Cl	SO₃	Cl	SO₃	Cl
Kuisma-Kursula & Räisänen 1999	SEM-EDS	–	–	–	–	0.28	0.15
Kuisma-Kursula 2000	EPMA-WDS	–	–	–	–	0.15	0.17
Bronk & Freestone 2001	SEM-EDS	0.17	0.09	0.55	0.17	–	–
Vicenzi <i>et al.</i> 2002	EPMA-WDS	0.13	0.09	0.45	0.16	0.19	0.16
Schoer & Rehren 2007	EPMA-WDS	0.09	0.09	0.41	0.15	–	–
Freestone <i>et al.</i> 2010	SEM-EDS	–	–	–	–	0.32	0.17
Freestone <i>et al.</i> 2015	EPMA-WDS	0.14	0.09	0.50	0.16	–	–
Cholakova, Rehren and Freestone 2015	EPMA-WDS	0.15	0.09	0.51	0.17	–	–
This paper	EPMA-WDS	0.14	0.09	0.49	0.17	0.20	0.16
	Mean	0.14	0.09	0.48	0.16	0.23	0.16
Standard deviation		0.03	0.002	0.05	0.007	0.07	0.007
Previously recommended values (Brill 1999)		0.10	0.10	0.50	0.20	0.30	0.40
Percentage change		37.0	–10.2	–3.2	–18.9	–23.7	–59.6

Table 2: Published results for SO₃ and Cl for Corning A, B and D alongside results of the current paper, expressed as oxide weight percent (wt%). The mean of all studies is compared with the recommended theoretical values published in Brill (1999).

published papers also reported similar disagreement (see references listed in **Table 2**). The theoretical concentrations of SO₃ and Cl in the Corning glasses were admittedly approximate due to the unpredictable loss of these elements during the glass melting process (described in detail in Brill, 1972). That it is unsurprising that measured results for these elements shows poor agreement with the theoretical recommended values is explicitly acknowledged by Vicenzi (et al 2002: 722); however, the low degree of

confidence in these concentrations make it highly important to re-evaluate those values in order to better characterise the composition of these glasses and to further their usefulness as reference standards.

The concentrations for Ag in the Corning glasses, which are also currently based upon theoretical values, will not be addressed here, as it is present in concentrations below the limits of detection of the equipment (see below); also Corning C will not be addressed as this high-lead, high-barium glass is not

used in most glass analysis in these laboratories and therefore no data was available.

Methodology

The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses A, B and D are used as secondary standards for electron microprobe analysis in the UCL Institute of Archaeology Wolfson Archaeological Science Laboratories. The data used for this paper has been collected by the author over the past two years (2015–2016) as part of research involving the analysis of medieval glass. Samples of the Corning glasses were embedded in epoxy resin, polished to 1 μm with diamond paste, and vacuum-coated in carbon. Analyses were carried out using a JEOL JXA-8100 Electron Probe Microanalyser with attached wavelength dispersive spectrometers (EPMA-WDS). Standard procedure for glass analysis in the Wolfson Archaeological Science Laboratories is to take area measurements with magnifications of 800x and a working distance of 11mm giving a raster area of 150 x 110 μm , with an accelerating voltage of 15kV and a beam current of 50nA, and with 30s count time on each element peak and 10s count time per background measurement. Analytical totals had a mean of 99.5%, and the data were not normalised.

Data compiled from several publications were also used. This was limited by the fact that some publications using the Corning glasses do not publish their measurements of the standards and others did not report values for the elements of interest. The data taken from published works were generated using EPMA or scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with either attached WDS or energy dispersive spectrometers (EDS). For a comparative study of WDS and EDS systems in the analysis of glass, see Verità *et al* (1994).

As the previously accepted values are based on theoretical compositions, a statistical test for difference is not considered appropriate. The recommended values in this paper, calculated as the mean average of nine publications, are the first based upon actual measurement.

New Values for Chlorine and Sulphur Concentrations

The mean and standard deviation of the results for SO_3 and Cl in Corning A, B and D as measured by the author using EPMA-WDS are given in **Table 1**, and it is also noted that the standard deviation of the results for SO_3 in all three Corning glasses are greater than those for Cl. These results are compared with data from eight other publications (**Table 2**). The Cl concentrations are in good agreement, whereas the SO_3 results are more variable. This variability is largely due to the convention of reporting sulphur as an oxide rather than the measured element, resulting in a reported standard deviation that has been multiplied by 2.497 (the conversion factor of S to SO_3), and furthermore means that the concentrations are closer to detection limits than the oxide concentration suggests. The sulphur concentrations as measured by EDS tend to be higher than those by WDS; the overlapping S-K α and Pb-M α lines may have had a small effect on those results. Variation between laboratories and machines is also evident, for example in the consistently lower SO_3 values reported by Schoer & Rehren (2007) and Kuisma-Kursula (2000).

The best agreement with the recommended values (Brill, 1999) is for SO_3 in Corning B and Cl in Corning A; the most significant disagreement is found in Cl concentrations in Corning D. Estimated retention rates were assumed to be the same for all three glasses (Brill, 1972), but instead the solubility would be dependent on the composition of each glass; for example, the soda concentrations (Freestone *et al.*, 2015).

The lack of a complete up-to-date list of concentrations for the Corning glasses has meant that some papers use recommended concentrations based upon the initial theoretical values published by Brill (1972) and reiterated again in Brill (1999), others use the “tentative recommended compositions” also published in Brill (1972), whereas others are using values whose origins are not known to this author and are presumably based upon

	A	B	C	D	
SiO₂	66.56 ^c	61.55 ^c	34.87 ^c	55.24 ^c	SiO₂
Na₂O	14.3 ^b	17.0 ^b	1.07 ^b	1.20 ^b	Na₂O
CaO	5.03 ^b	8.56 ^b	5.07 ^b	14.8 ^b	CaO
K₂O	2.87 ^b	1.00 ^b	2.84 ^b	11.3 ^b	K₂O
MgO	2.66 ^b	1.03 ^b	2.76 ^b	3.94 ^b	MgO
Al₂O	1.00 ^b	4.36 ^b	0.87 ^b	5.30 ^b	Al₂O
P₂O₅	0.0847 ^d	0.82 ^b	0.068 ^d	3.93 ^b	P₂O₅
SO₃	0.14 ^e	0.49 ^e	0.10 ^a	0.23 ^e	SO₃
Cl	0.09 ^e	0.16 ^e	0.10 ^a	0.16 ^e	Cl
TiO₂	0.79 ^b	0.089 ^b	0.79 ^b	0.38 ^b	TiO₂
MnO	1.00 ^b	0.25 ^b	0.0011 ^d	0.55 ^b	MnO
Fe₂O₃	1.09 ^b	0.34 ^b	0.34 ^b	0.52 ^b	Fe₂O₃
CoO	0.17 ^b	0.046 ^b	0.18 ^b	0.023 ^b	CoO
NiO	0.020 ^a	0.099 ^b	0.020 ^a	0.050 ^a	NiO
CuO	1.17 ^b	2.66 ^b	1.13 ^b	0.38 ^b	CuO
ZnO	0.044 ^b	0.19 ^b	0.052 ^b	0.10 ^b	ZnO
SnO₂	0.19 ^b	0.0241 ^d	0.19 ^b	0.10 ^b	SnO₂
Sb₂O₅	1.75 ^b	0.46 ^b	0.0001 ^d	0.97 ^b	Sb₂O₅
BaO	0.46 ^d	0.077 ^d	11.4 ^b	0.291 ^d	BaO
PbO	0.0725 ^d	0.61 ^b	36.7 ^b	0.241 ^d	PbO
Li₂O	0.010 ^a	0.001 ^a	0.010 ^a	0.005 ^a	Li₂O
B₂O₃	0.200 ^a	0.035 ^d	0.200 ^a	0.100 ^a	B₂O₃
V₂O₅	0.006 ^a	0.036 ^b	0.006 ^a	0.015 ^a	V₂O₅
Cr₂O₃	0.0033 ^d	0.0096 ^d	0.0023 ^d	0.0025 ^a	Cr₂O₃
Rb₂O	0.010 ^a	0.001 ^a	0.010 ^a	0.005 ^a	Rb₂O
SrO	0.10 ^b	0.019 ^b	0.29 ^b	0.057 ^b	SrO
ZrO₂	0.005 ^a	0.025 ^a	0.005 ^a	0.0125 ^a	ZrO₂
Ag₂O	0.002 ^{a'}	0.010 ^{a'}	0.002 ^{a'}	0.005 ^{a'}	Ag₂O
Bi₂O₃	0.001 ^a	0.0042 ^d	0.0040 ^d	0.0012 ^d	Bi₂O₃

Table 3: Updated compositions for Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses A, B, C and D (wt%).

^a Brill 1972. Theoretical values, nominal compositions calculated from precursor mass fractions (uncontested by Wagner *et al.* 2012); ^{a'} Ag₂O concentrations were not addressed by Wagner and colleagues.

^b Brill 1999.

^c Brill unpublished data, reported in Vicenzi *et al.* 2002

^d Wagner *et al.* 2012 data.

^e Adlington 2017 (current paper).

unpublished work shared between researchers. To address this problem, a full list of elements for the four Corning glasses has been compiled with the most up-to-date recommended concentrations and with references to the published origin of each value, reported in **Table 3**; it is suggested that these values are used in future work.

Summary

The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses are important secondary standards used in the analysis of archaeological and historical glass, and so it has been important to verify their usefulness as standards (cf. Vicenzi *et al.*, 2002) and corroborate their compositions (cf. Wagner *et al.*, 2012). The compositions of three elements in these glasses have not been re-examined and are theoretical values based upon batch calculations. This paper revisited the concentrations of sulphur and chlorine in Corning A, B and D, after the author observed consistent disagreement with the recommended values both in her own analytical work and in published research, and new values were recommended based on a mean average of published and new results. These results here are tentative and are expected to be revised again when a more thorough, directed approach can be taken; in particular, results for SO₃ in all glasses, especially Corning D, vary widely in the published data used in this paper. However, the contribution of this work will give analysts a better understanding of the composition of these standards and of the performance of their equipment. Finally, a complete, up-to-date list of compositions has been compiled for the benefit of other analysts using the Corning glasses.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Ian Freestone, Tom Gregory, and other members of the UCL Wolfson Archaeological Science Laboratories, as well as to the reviewers, for their help and advice. This research is supported by the UCL Graduate Research Scholarship and the UCL Overseas Research Scholarship.

Competing Interests

The author has no competing interests to declare.

References

- Al-Bashaireh, K, Al-Mustafa, S, Freestone, I C and Al-Housan, A Q** 2016 'Composition of Byzantine glasses from Umm el-Jimal, northeast Jordan: Insights into glass origins and recycling', *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 21: 809–818. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2016.04.008>
- Beerkens, R G C** 2003 'Amber chromophore formation in sulphur- and iron-containing soda-lime-silica glasses', *Glass science and technology*, 76(4): 166–175.
- Brill, R H** 1965 'Interlaboratory Comparison Experiments on the Analysis of Ancient Glass', in *Comptes Rendus, VII e Congrès International du Verre, Brussels, 1965*, Vol. 2, paper 226.
- Brill, R H** 1972 'A chemical-analytical round-robin on four synthetic ancient glasses', in *IX Congrès International du Verre, Versailles, 27 septembre-2 octobre 1971: Artistic and Historical Communications*. Paris: L'Institute du Verre, pp. 93–110.
- Brill, R H** 1999 *Chemical analyses of early glasses*. Corning, NY: Corning Museum of Glass.
- Bronk, H and Freestone, I C** 2001 'A quasi non-destructive microsampling technique for the analysis of intact glass objects by SEM/EDXA', *Archaeometry*, 43(4): 517–527. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4754.00034>
- Cholakova, A, Rehren, T and Freestone, I C** 2015 'Compositional identification of 6th c. AD glass from the Lower Danube', *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*: 1–8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2015.08.009>
- Dussubieux, L, Kusimba, C M, Gogte, V, Kusimba, S B, Gratuze, B and Oka, R** 2008 'The trading of ancient glass beads: New analytical data from South Asian and East African soda-alumina glass beads', *Archaeometry*,

- 50(5): 797–821. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.2007.00350.x>
- Dussubieux, L, Robertshaw, P and Glascock, M D** 2009 'LA-ICP-MS analysis of African glass beads: Laboratory inter-comparison with an emphasis on the impact of corrosion on data interpretation', *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 284(1–3): 152–161. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2008.11.003>
- Falcone, R, Renier, A and Verita, M** 2002 'Wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence analysis of ancient glasses', *Archaeometry*, 44: 531–542. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4754.00084>
- Freestone, I C, Jackson-Tal, R E, Taxel, I and Tal, O** 2015 'Glass production at an Early Islamic workshop in Tel Aviv', *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 62: 45–54. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.07.003>
- Freestone, I C, Kunicki-Goldfinger, J J, Gilderdale-Scott, H and Ayers, T** 2010 'Multidisciplinary Investigation of the Windows of John Thornton, Focusing on the Great East Window of York Minster', In Shepherd, M B, Pilosi, L and Strobl, S (eds) *The Art of Collaboration: Stained-Glass Conservation in the Twenty-First Century*. London: The International Committee of the Corpus Vitrearum for the Conservation of Stained Glass, pp. 151–158.
- Freestone, I C and Stapleton, C P** 2015 'Composition, technology and production of coloured glasses from Roman mosaic vessels', In Jackson, C, Freestone, I C and Bayley, J (eds) *Glass of the Roman World*. Oxford: Oxbow Books, pp. 61–76.
- Gerth, K, Wedepohl, K H and Heide, K** 1998 'Experimental melts to explore the technique of medieval wood ash glass production and the chlorine content of medieval glass types', *Chemie der Erde*, 58: 219–232.
- Kuisma-Kursula, P** 2000 'Accuracy, precision and detection limits of SEM-WDS, SEM-EDS and PIXE in the multi-elemental analysis of medieval glass', *X-Ray Spectrometry*, 29: 111–118. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4539\(200001/02\)29:1<111::AID-XRS408>3.0.CO;2-W](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4539(200001/02)29:1<111::AID-XRS408>3.0.CO;2-W)
- Kuisma-Kursula, P and Räisänen, J** 1999 'Scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive spectrometry and proton induced x-ray emission analyses of Medieval glass from Koroinen (Finland)', *Archaeometry*. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 41(1): 71–79.
- Rehren, T** 2000 'Rationales in Old World base glass compositions', *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 27(12): 1225–1234. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1999.0620>
- Rehren, T and Freestone, I C** 2015 'Ancient glass: from kaleidoscope to crystal ball', *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 56: 233–241. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.02.021>
- Schoer, B and Rehren, T** 2007 'The Composition of Glass and Associated Ceramics from Qantir', In Pusch, E B and Rehren, T (eds) *Hochtemperatur-Technologie in der Ramses-Stadt: Rubinglas für den Pharao*. Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, pp. 171–199.
- Schreiner, M, Woisetschläger, G, Schmitz, I and Wadsak, M** 1999 'Characterisation of surface layers formed under natural environmental conditions on medieval stained glass and ancient copper alloys using SEM, SIMS and atomic force microscopy', *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 14: 395–403. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/a807305h>
- Schreurs, J W H and Brill, R H** 1984 'Iron and sulfur related colors in ancient glasses', *Archaeometry*, 26(2): 199–209. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.1984.tb00334.x>
- Shortland, A, Rogers, N and Eremin, K** 2007 'Trace element discriminants between Egyptian and Mesopotamian Late Bronze Age glasses', *Journal of Archaeological*

- Science*, 34: 781–789. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2006.08.004>
- Verità, M, Basso, R, Wypyski, M T and Koestler, R J** 1994 'X-ray microanalysis of ancient glassy materials: a comparative study of wavelength dispersive and energy dispersive techniques', *Archaeometry*, 36(2): 241–251. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.1994.tb00967.x>
- Vicenzi, E P, Eggins, S, Logan, A and Wysoczanski, R** 2002 'Archeological Reference Glasses: New Additions to the Smithsonian', *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology*, 107(6): 719–727. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.107.058>
- Wagner, B, Nowak, A, Bulska, E, Hametner, K and Günther, D** 2012 'Critical assessment of the elemental composition of Corning archeological reference glasses by LA-ICP-MS', *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 402(4): 1667–1677. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5597-8>
- Wagner, B, Nowak, A, Bulska, E, Kunicki-Goldfinger, J, Schalm, O and Janssens, K** 2008 'Complementary analysis of historical glass by scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry', *Microchimica Acta*, 162(3–4): 415–424. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-007-0835-7>
- Wedepohl, K H** 2003 *Glas in Antike und Mittelalter: Geschichte eines Werkstoffs*. Stuttgart: Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.

How to cite this article: Adlington, L W 2017 The Corning Archaeological Reference Glasses: New Values for "Old" Compositions. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*, 27(1): Art. 2, pp. 1–8, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/pia-515>

Submitted: 07 July 2016 **Accepted:** 09 December 2016 **Published:** 17 February 2017

Copyright: © 2017 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

]u[*Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.

OPEN ACCESS 